UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----:

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :

: Docket #23-MJ-2638

Plaintiff, :

V .

CHARLIE JAVICE, : New York, New York

Defendant. : April 4, 2023

----: Conference

TRANSCRIPT AND STATUS CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BARBARA C. MOSES

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

BY: Dina McLeod

Micah Fergenson

One St. Andrew's Plaza
New York, New York 10007

For Defendant: QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN

BY: Maaren Shah

JP Kernisan

51 Madison Avenue - 22nd Floor

New York, New York 10010

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service

```
1
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: The court now calls the
 2
     United States of America versus Charlie Javice; case
     number 23MJ2638.
 3
              Counsel, please make your appearances for
 4
 5
     the record.
 6
              MS. McLEOD: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
 7
     Dina McLeod and Micah Fergenson for the government.
8
              THE COURT: Ms. McLeod, Mr. Fergenson.
 9
     Good afternoon.
10
              MS. SHAH: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
11
     Maaren Shah from Quinn Emmanuel for defendant,
12
     Charlie Javice. I'm here with my partner, JP
13
     Kernisan. And my partner, Alex Spiro couldn't be
     here today, but will be appearing in the case also.
14
15
              Nice to see you again, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Ms. Kernigan. Ms. Shah, I
17
     don't usually see you in this courtroom; good
18
     afternoon.
              MS. SHAH: Good afternoon.
19
20
              THE COURT: And Ms. Javice, am I
21
     pronouncing your last name correctly?
22
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
23
              THE COURT: You don't have to stand up.
24
     You can all be seated. I am Magistrate Judge Moses.
25
              For the record, not that I have any doubt
             AMM TRANSCRIPTION - 631.334.1445
```

about this, please confirm that you speak and understand English.

THE DEFENDANT: I do. Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: May I have the date and time of the defendant's arrest, please?

MS. McLEOD: Yes, Your Honor. The defendant was arrested at approximately 6:20 p.m. yesterday at Newark Liberty.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So, Ms. Javice, the purpose of our proceeding this afternoon is to advise you of certain rights that you have, to inform you of the charges against you, to consider whether counsel shall be appointed for you, and to decide under what conditions, if any, you shall be released pending trial.

I'm going to begin by explaining some of your constitutional rights. You have the right to remain silent. You are not required to make any statements to law enforcement authorities, even if you've already spoken to the authorities, you are not required to make any further statements.

Anything that you do say can be used against you. You have the right to be released, either conditionally or unconditionally pending trial,

unless I determine that there are no conditions that would reasonably assure your presence at future court appearances and the safety of the community.

If you are a foreign national, you have the right to request that a consular officer from your country of origin be notified of your arrest. In some cases, a treaty or other agreement may require the U.S. government to give that notification, whether you request it or not.

I am informed that you are a dual citizen of the United States and France. I am further informed that France is not a mandatory notification nation. So that notification will not be made automatically, but you may request that that notification be given.

You have the right to be represented by an attorney during all court proceedings, including this one, and during any questioning by the authorities. If you cannot afford an attorney, I will appoint one today to represent you.

Ms. Shah, your presence here suggests to me that there is not going to be an application for appointed counsel; is that correct?

MS. SHAH: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So we will then move on. I

1	have been given a copy of the sealed complaint in
2	this matter, which I'm sure you've seen. I will
3	review it for you briefly. This is the document
4	that contains the criminal charges against you.
5	You are charged in Count 1 with conspiracy
6	to commit wire and bank fraud, in violation of 18
7	United States Code § 1349.
8	You are charged in Count 2 with wire fraud,
9	in violation of 18 United States Code §§ 1343 and 2.
L 0	You are charged in Count 3 with bank fraud,
11	in violation of 18 United States Code §§ 1344 and 2.
12	And you are charged in Count 4 with
13	securities fraud, in violation of Title 15, United
L 4	States Code, §§ 78j(b) and 78f(f), and also Title 17
15	of the Code of Federal Regulations, § 240.10(b)(5)
L 6	and Title 18, United States Code § 2.
L 7	Counsel, have you received a copy of the
L 8	complaint and had an opportunity to review it with
L 9	your client?
2 0	MS. SHAH: Yes, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: And do you waive its detailed
22	public reading?
23	MS. SHAH: Yes, Your Honor.
2 4	THE COURT: Okay. Because you have been
25	charged by Complaint, Ms. Javice, you are entitled

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to a preliminary hearing. At the preliminary hearing, the government will have the burden of establishing that there is probable cause to believe that the crimes for which you are being charged were committed and that you are the person who committed them. If you are in custody, you have a right to that hearing within 14 days. If you're out of custody, you have a right to that hearing within 21 days. However, no preliminary hearing will be held if before the date on which it is scheduled you are indicted by a Grand Jury or an Information is filed against you by the government. I'll set a preliminary hearing date before we conclude our proceedings this afternoon. But what I now wish to turn to is the issue of bail, or to be more formal, conditions of release. Have counsel discussed this? MS. McLEOD: Yes, Your Honor. And we have a joint proposed bail package. THE COURT: Excellent. Who would like to present that to me?

AMM TRANSCRIPTION - 631.334.1445

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. McLEOD: I will, Your Honor.

```
1
              MS. McLEOD: The parties propose a $2
2
     million personal recognizance bond to be signed by
 3
     two financially responsible persons, to be secured
     by the defendant's property. And I can give you the
 4
 5
     address. It's the residence on the --
              THE COURT: This is real estate?
 6
7
              MS. McLEOD: It's real estate. It's a home
8
     in Miami.
 9
              THE COURT: Do you want to put that on the
10
     record or not?
11
              MS. SHAH: I think we'd prefer not, if
     that's all right, but I think Your Honor has it in
12
13
     front of you in the Pretrial report.
14
              THE COURT: Is it the same address which is
15
     listed in the Pretrial report?
16
              MS. McLEOD: Yes, it's the one on the first
17
     page of the Pretrial report.
18
              THE COURT: Fine. And that's the
     defendant's personal residence?
19
20
              MS. McLEOD: Correct.
21
              THE COURT: All right. So there will be
22
     then what type of security?
23
              MS. McLEOD: It will be secured by that
24
     property.
25
              THE COURT: All right. And is there a
```

```
1
     mortgage on that property?
 2
              MS. McLEOD: There is.
 3
              THE COURT: And you're satisfied that
     there's enough equity in the property to give the
 4
 5
     government adequate security?
              MS. McLEOD: Yes.
 6
              THE COURT: Okay. Are you trying to say
7
8
     something or you're just standing up to stretch your
 9
     legs?
10
              MS. SHAH: I'm just standing up to show
11
     respect to Your Honor. I wasn't sure if you'd need
12
     me again.
13
              THE COURT: No, you're fine.
14
              MS. SHAH: Thank you.
15
              THE COURT: You can be seated. Go ahead.
16
              MS. McLEOD: Regular pretrial supervision.
              THE COURT: As directed?
17
18
              MS. McLEOD: As directed.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Any travel restrictions?
20
              MS. McLEOD: Southern -- SDNY, EDNY and the
21
     Southern District of Florida. And all points in
22
     between for travel for court appearances.
23
              THE COURT: And she'll be supervised out of
24
     Florida?
25
              MS. McLEOD: That's our expectation.
             AMM TRANSCRIPTION - 631.334.1445
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              MS. McLEOD: Surrender all travel documents
 3
     and no new applications.
 4
              THE COURT: It's my understanding that both
     the U.S. and the French passport are in law
 5
     enforcement custody; is that right?
 6
 7
              MS. McLEOD: That's correct, Your Honor.
 8
              MS. SHAH: That's correct, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: Got it.
10
              MS. McLEOD: A curfew to be enforced by
11
     location monitoring.
12
              THE COURT: Curfew? Okay. Tell me why.
13
              MS. McLEOD: The curfew, Your Honor --
14
              THE COURT: I mean, usually it's a
15
     different kinds of crime that calls for curfew.
16
              MS. McLEOD: Yes. So that was to
17
     mitigate --
18
              THE COURT: I see you, Ms. Shah. I'll call
19
     on you in a moment.
20
              MS. SHAH: Thank you.
21
              MS. McLEOD: Parkinson was to mitigate the
     risk of flight here based on the defendant's dual
22
23
     nationality.
24
              THE COURT: What, airplanes only run at
25
     night?
```

1 MS. McLEOD: Well, the curfew is to set 2 some parameters around the location monitoring. THE COURT: It's not a time curfew. 3 MS. McLEOD: Well, it is a time curfew. 4 5 The curfew is to set a particular time in which she must be in the home, but it provides a framework for 6 7 Pretrial to check in and make sure that she's there. It's a way to -- the location monitoring enforces 8 9 the curfew. 10 THE COURT: I'm not entirely sure I follow. If it's, let's say, an hour from her home to the 11 12 nearest international airport, if she were going to 13 make a run for it, which we all trust and hope she's 14 not, couldn't she do it at nine in the morning just 15 as easily as at one in the morning? 16 MS. McLEOD: I mean, I think that's right, 17 I think typically Pretrial likes not to 18 have standalone monitoring, which is one of the reasons we asked for it. And in addition to set a 19 20 framework for the location monitoring. If the Court 21 wants to place standalone location monitoring, it 22 can. It is recommended by Pretrial as one of the 23 conditions. 24 THE COURT: Well, I've jumped on your words

AMM TRANSCRIPTION - 631.334.1445

a couple of times already. Why don't you give me

25

```
1
     your pitch.
 2
              MS. McLEOD: And this is agreed upon.
 3
     curfew to be enforced by location monitoring.
              THE COURT: With the hours to be set by
 4
 5
     Pretrial?
 6
              MS. McLEOD: Hours to be set in conjunction
 7
     with Pretrial, likely in the Southern District of
8
     Florida.
 9
              THE COURT: All right. And the form of
10
     location monitoring would also be up to Pretrial, so
     not necessarily an ankle bracelet?
11
12
              MS. McLEOD: I think that's right, Your
13
     Honor.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Got it.
15
              MS. SHAH: Your Honor, if I may be heard on
16
     that point.
17
              THE COURT: Sure.
18
              MS. SHAH: Thank you. And as Ms. McLeod
19
     noted, this was agreed, but although, as Your Honor
20
     knows, I don't appear very often in this courtroom
21
     before you, we are also under the understanding that
     that condition was imposed because it is, I gather,
22
23
     a standard framework. We have had discussions with
24
     opposing counsel about thinking it's not necessary.
25
     We would certainly prefer to proceed without a
```

curfew. We don't think it's justified by the circumstances here. So if Your Honor is so inclined --

THE COURT: Well, let me lay out what your realistic choices may be, now that I understand the lay of the land, so to speak. Pretrial services is interested in not having the defendant be out of electronic contact with them for long enough to make a run for it. Not to put too fine a point on it.

One way of doing that is to have location monitoring in the home that records when she's home and when she's not, but doesn't tell Pretrial services where she is when she's not there because she's not wearing an ankle bracelet.

You kind of need a curfew, as Ms. McLeod explained, to put a framework on that because if she isn't required to be in the home at a certain hour every evening, then what does Pretrial services do with the fact that she's not in the home at any given moment?

The other way to keep tabs on her would be to put her in an ankle bracelet without a curfew.

But now she's wearing a hunk of ankle around -- a hunk of metal around her ankle wherever she goes.

Now, neither of these is ideal from the

defendant's perspective, but it may well be that the curfew with no ankle bracelet is considered the lesser of two evils. Do you want to have a moment to discuss that?

MS. SHAH: I believe, Your Honor, that we would agree that the curfew and no ankle bracelet is the lesser of the two evils here. And I don't want to speak for my colleague on the other side of the table. My understanding is that what was being proposed was an ankle bracelet and a curfew. If that's not the case, then the curfew is fine.

THE COURT: Let me get clarification.

MS. SHAH: Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. McLeod.

MS. McLEOD: I think we would be fine with the curfew to be enforced by -- at the discretion of Pretrial. Often they would choose not to do GPS at that point, but that's something that I think is within their province.

THE COURT: All right. So what I could order, and what the Assistant U.S. Attorney is suggesting is that I put the curfew in place and leave it up to Pretrial services as to the exact form of electronic monitoring. This does not guarantee no ankle bracelet, but it gives you a

decent chance of no ankle bracelet.

1

```
2
              Are both sides reasonably accepting of that
 3
     compromise?
              MS. McLEOD: Yes, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              MS. SHAH:
                          That's fine, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: All right. Let's go on.
 6
7
              MS. McLEOD: No contact -- this is going to
8
     be a long one. No contact with -- and I'm going to
 9
     give a number of categories of people.
10
              THE COURT: You're saying I should get
     another piece of paper out here.
11
12
              MS. McLEOD: I don't know how far you've
13
     gotten, but probably yes, Your Honor.
14
              And as a caveat for this, this is sort of a
15
     no contact with witnesses rule, and I just want to
16
     preface this with saying that we have confirmed with
17
     counsel ahead of time that they are aware of the
18
     identities of these people.
19
              THE COURT: Because you knew I was going to
20
     ask that question.
21
              MS. McLEOD: I did. I was here for your
22
     prior proceeding.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
24
              MS. McLEOD: So the condition as agreed
25
     would be no contact with the following categories of
             AMM TRANSCRIPTION - 631.334.1445
```

```
1
     people; former employees of Frank, which is the
 2
     company name, Frank.
 3
              THE COURT:
                           Right.
              MS. McLEOD: Current employees of JPMorgan
 4
 5
     Chase.
 6
              THE COURT: That's a big company, JPMorgan
 7
     Chase.
8
              MS. McLEOD: Yes. We've discussed this
 9
     with defense counsel. And I have one more caveat at
10
     the end of that as well.
11
              The person identified in the complaint as
     CC1.
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. CC1.
14
              MS. McLEOD: The person identified in the
15
     complaint as Data Scientist 1.
16
              THE COURT: And, Ms. Shah, you know who
     that is?
17
18
              MS. SHAH: Yes, we do, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT: Okay.
20
              MS. McLEOD: And the final caveat on that
21
     is there should be no communications. There should
22
     be no communications about -- my understanding is
23
     some people in these categories, there may be a
24
     prior personal relationship. And so the agreement
25
     with the parties is that if there's a communication
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the personal capacity, it should not be about -there should be no discussion of the substance of the case outside the presence of counsel. Oh, I'm sorry, I missed one category. My colleague is reminding me there's one other category, which is former investors in Frank and former members of the board of Frank. THE COURT: Former investors and board members of Frank. MS. McLEOD: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Now to which of these -- I have five categories here. One, former employees of Frank; two, former investors and/or board members of Frank; three, current employees of JPMorgan Chase. I have to renumber my list here. Four, CC1; Five, Data Scientist 1. To which categories does your carve out When I say "your carve out," I mean your apply? explanation that social or personal contact is acceptable so long as there's no discussion of matters relevant to this case? MS. McLEOD: Let me discuss for one second. (Pause in proceedings)

AMM TRANSCRIPTION - 631.334.1445

ready to answer your question.

MS. McLEOD: Okay. Your Honor, we are

So the carve out as to communications limited to substance of the case, only in the presence of counsel. That applies to the following categories, board members and investors and former employees of Frank.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. McLEOD: And I'm sorry, we have one additional caveat, which is that technically the defendant's mother and her mother's boyfriend fall in the investor category. But the parties have agreed that those two individuals, the defendant's mother and her mother's boyfriend, can be excluded from the condition altogether in order that she can speak to her mother about the case, for example.

With respect to former employees of Frank, the condition is that the defendant is to have no communication with them related to this case except in the presence of counsel. With respect to current employees of JPMorgan Chase, the condition is the defendant is to have no contact with them except in the presence of counsel. With respect to former investors and/or board members of Frank, but not counting mom or mom's boyfriend, the condition is that the defendant is to have no substantive

communication with them concerning this case except in the presence of counsel. Mom and Mom's boyfriend are unrestricted. And with respect to the two remaining individuals identified as CC1 and Data Scientist 1, the defendant is to have no communication with them on any topic other than in the presence of counsel.

MS. McLEOD: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's move on.

MS. McLEOD: Almost done. And the final condition is what's listed as number six on the Pretrial services report, which is the defendant is not to open any new bank accounts or lines of credit without the approval of pretrial. And then the final note is that the defendant can be released on her own signature, all other conditions to be met within two weeks.

THE COURT: All right. So let me recite for the record what I understand the agreed upon conditions of release to be. And then I will ask the defendant if I've gotten them correct insofar as she has agreed to these.

The defendant will be released on a bond in the amount of \$2 million, which will be cosigned by two financially responsible persons acceptable to

the U.S. Attorney's Office in the Southern District of New York, and will be secured by the defendant's residence in Florida.

The defendant's travel will be restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the Southern District of Florida, and points in between for purposes of travel only.

The defendant will surrender her passports, which she's already done, as I understand it, and will make no new application for passports or similar travel documents.

The defendant will be supervised as directed by Pretrial services. The defendant will be subject to a curfew at her residence, the exact parameters of which will be set by Pretrial services, and the curfew will be enforced by location monitoring at the discretion of Pretrial services.

The defendant will open no new -- wait one second -- will not open any new bank accounts or lines of credit without the approval of Pretrial services. And the defendant will be subject to the following restrictions on contact with other individuals potentially relevant to this case.

As to CC1, Data Scientist 1, and current

employees of JPMorgan Chase, the defendant will have 1 2 no contact with individuals in those categories 3 outside the presence of counsel. With respect to former employees of Frank 4 5 and former investors and/or board members of Frank who are not mom or mom's boyfriend, the defendant 6 7 will have no communication with these individuals 8 concerning this case or facts relevant to this case 9 outside the presence of counsel. 10 There are no communication restrictions 11 with regard to the defendant's mother or the 12 mother's boyfriend. The defendant will be released 13 today upon her own signature. All remaining 14 conditions must be met within two weeks. 15 Let me ask the government first, is there 16 anything you want to add or clarify there? 17 MS. McLEOD: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: You weren't listening. 19 MS. McLEOD: I just wasn't sure if I missed 20 the PRB being secured by the Miami address. 21 THE COURT: That was in there. All right. 22 Ms. Shah, anything to add or clarify? 23 MS. SHAH: Yes, Your Honor, we're good. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 Ms. Javice, do you understand the terms of

your release as I have just summarized them for you?

MS. McLEOD: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You may be seated. Let me warn you, Ms. Javice, that if you fail to appear in court when due or if you violate any of the conditions of your release, whether you consider these conditions important or unimportant, I can assure you that the government tends to consider all of them important, so if you were to violate them, a new warrant would be issued for your arrest.

You and those who cosign the bond could be liable each for up to the full amount of the bond, in this case, \$2 million. Not a trivial sum. And you could be charged with a separate crime known as bail jumping.

In addition, if you were to commit a new offense while you are on release in this case, then in addition to whatever sentence you would ordinarily get for the new offense, you can be sentenced to an additional term of imprisonment because you were out on bail when you committed it. And that additional term of imprisonment could be up to ten years if the new offense is a felony. Up to one year, if the new offense is a misdemeanor. And that additional term would be imposed and executed

```
1
     after and on top of any other sentence of
 2
     imprisonment is completed.
              Do you understand what I have told you?
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Ms. Shah, when shall I set the
 6
     preliminary hearing?
7
              MS. SHAH: I think 21 days works for us,
8
     Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: 21 days. That takes us to
10
     April the 25th. You sure you don't want to waive to
11
     the 30th day?
12
              MS. SHAH: I'm sorry?
13
              THE COURT: I expected you to say you
14
     wanted to waive to the 30th day. I assume you have
15
     a lot to talk about with the government. Up to you.
16
              MS. SHAH:
                         I think we're good with the 21.
17
              THE COURT: You're good with the 21. All
18
             So I'll set the preliminary hearing for
19
     April the 25th. April the 25th, 2023.
20
              Anything further from the government?
21
              MS. McLEOD: No, Your Honor.
                                             Thank you.
22
              THE COURT:
                          Anything from the defense?
23
              MS. SHAH: No, thank you, Your Honor.
24
              THE COURT: Thank you. We will be
25
     adjourned.
```

I, Adrienne M. Mignano, certify that the foregoing transcript of proceedings in the case of United States of America v. Charlie Javice;

Docket #23MJ2638 was prepared using digital transcription software and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

CERTIFICATE

Signature Adrienne M. Mignano

ADRIENNE M. MIGNANO, RPR

Date: April 5, 2023